

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
05/924,406	07/11/1978	HYMAN KIRTCHIK	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4327
7	7590 08/18/2005		EXAM	INER
AFLSA/JACP 1501 WILSON BOULEVARD			JORDAN, C	HARLES T
ROOM 805 ARLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

RESCINDING OF SECRECY ORDER (35 U.S.C. 181-188)

The Secrecy Order, dated, prohibiting disclosure of publication of the subject matter of the above-identified application under 35 U.S.C. 181-188 is herby rescinded. Normal prosecution is continued and any suspension thereof because of the secrecy order has been removed. This rescinding order does not affect the provisions of any classified government contract or existing laws relating to espionage and national security.

Note that this decision does not affect the removal of any national security markings. Applicant is required to obtain authorization to remove any such markings or pursue a new security order.

Donald T. Hajec

Director, Technnology Center 3600

(703) 306-4180



by authority of

AFRL/MLBT



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS CENTER (AFMC)
HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS 01731

21 July 2005

MEMO	RANDUM FOR: COMM	ISSIONER OF	PATENTS	
	PO BOX	X 1450		
	ALEXA	NDRIA VA 22	2313-1450	
FROM:	ESC/JAZ			
	40 WRIGHT STREET I	BLDG 1120		
	HANSCOM AFB MA 0	1731-2903	•	
SUBJE	CT: Rescission of Secrec	y Order; Patent	Application S.N	. 05/924,406
Filed:	11 July 1978		Inventors:	Hyman Kirtchik
Title:	Infrared Camouflage Coating System			
	Prosecuted by 🗵 Gov	rt. Contracto	or Unknown	Party
RECO	MMENDATION: Resc	ission of Secre	ey Order	
Classifi	cation of Application	canceled 🗵	changed to	Unclassified

Catherine I with CATHERINE A. SCHULZ
Legal Instruments Examiner
Office of the Staff Judge Advocate
(781) 377-4073
DSN 478-4073

9 February 2005

on

CLASSIFICATION EVALUATION

Application Serial No.: 05/924,406				
Filing Date: 11 July 1978				
Classification:				
a. CLASSIFIED				
SECRET ()	CONFIDENTIAL ()			
b. UNCLASSIFIED, AVAILA	BLE FOR PUBLIC RELEASE (X)			
Comments (if needed):				
If this laboratory is the incorrect laboratories, please state belo more appropriate for this application documents to ESC/JAZ. The appropriate Laboratory is:	w which laboratory would be			
Signature of Reviewing Official	9 February 2005 Date			
Steve Szaruga, AFRL/MLBT, DSN 785-9064 Print Full Name, Office Symbol, Telephone Number				

Upon withdrawal of attachments this letter is unclassified

Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA

Subject:

FW: Secrecy Patents

Attachments:

AA523105.doc; AA5924404.doc; AA5924406.doc; AA5924405.doc; AA607391.doc;

AA607392.doc; AA508937.doc; image001.gif















AA523105.doc

AA5924404.doc

AA5924406.doc

AA5924405.doc

AA607391.doc

AA607392.doc

AA508937.doc



image001.gif

----Original Message---From: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA

Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 11:40 AM

To: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB

Subject: RE: Secrecy Patents

Lori,

I gave you the wrong response on this e-mail earlier. You can shred these cases. But to play it safe, I don't know if this e-mail will be sufficient enough. I am going to send you documents for each of these cases, if you could have the box checked off stating that these cases are unclassified and can be published, and have them signed so that way once I get something from the patent office stating that the case no longer has a secrecy order on it, I can make them unclassified.

If you want when they are filled in and signed, you can fax them to me at 781-377-4820. Thanks for all of your help.

Cathy

From: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 1:24 PM

To: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA Subject: RE: Secrecy Patents

Hi Cathy!

Yes, Steve Szaruga reviewed these two patents, (05/924,405 and 05/924,406) and they are to be made unclassified. Is it okay to shred them??

Thanks!

Lori

----Original Message----

From: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA [mailto:Cathy.Schulz@hanscom.af.mil]

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 8:11 AM

To: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB

Subject: RE: Secrecy Patents

Lori,

On cases 05/924,405 and 05/924,406 both of them I still have as Secret. (They were reviewed in February 2004 and your response was SECRET.) All of the other cases listed here have been marked Unclassified. On these cases that are unclassified you can shred those cases and if you need to keep them in your records, let me know and I will send you the sheet that we got from the patent office showing that it is now Unclassified and the secrecy order has been removed.

On cases 05/924,405 and 05/924,406, are you trying to tell me that your response to this years review is to make these cases Unclassified and available to the public? If so, I will send a response into the Patent Office. I do appreciate your help in this matter.

Cathy

From: Hackney Lori E Contr AFRL/MLOB Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 3:23 PM

To: Schulz Cathy Civ ESC/JA Subject: Secrecy Patents

Importance: High

Hi Cathy!

I have several Secrecy Order patents that have been declassified. I listed below the number and title. Should I shred these patents?

05/508937	Method for Dispersing Submicron Particles Within Plastic Film
05/607392	Improved Structural Radar Absorber
05/607391	Improved Structural Radar Absorber
05/924405	Countermeasure System
05/924406	IR Camouflage Coating System
05/523105	High Stress Attenuators

Thanks!

Lori Hackney
Patent Manager
Contractor (MTC)
Business Management Operations
AFRL/MLOB
Bldg 653, Room 416
255-2094